1

3

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

21

20

22

23 24

25

26

submitted for consideration.

ANTHONY P. BOTTZECK, et al.,

LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE

VS.

DEPARTMENT,

Plaintiff(s),

Defendant(s).

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

\* \* \*

2:10-cv-1093-RLH-PAL

ORDER

Before this Court is the Order and Report of Findings and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Peggy A. Leen (#5, filed December 8, 2010), regarding Plaintiff's failure to file the appropriate documents. No objection was filed to Magistrate Judge Leen's Order and Report of Findings and Recommendation in accordance with Local Rule IB 3-1 or 2 of the Rules of Practice of the United States District Court for the District of Nevada and the matter was

The Court has conducted a *de novo* review of the record in this case in accordance with 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1)(A), (B), and (C) and Local Rule IB 3-1 and determines that the Order of Magistrate Judge Leen is not clearly erroneous or contrary to law and should be affirmed. Furthermore, the Court has also conducted a *de novo* review of the record in this case in accordance with 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1)(B) and (C) and Local Rule IB 3-2 and determines that the Findings and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Leen should be accepted and adopted.

## Case 2:10-cv-01093-RLH-PAL Document 9 Filed 01/18/11 Page 2 of 2

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Magistrate Judge Leen's Order is AFFIRMED and her Findings and Recommendation (#5) is ACCEPTED and ADOPTED. Plaintiff's Complaint is DISMISSED. Dated: January 18, 2011. per L. Hant ROGER L. HUNT Chief U.S. District Judge